arXiv:1511.02323v1 [math.RA] 7 Nov 2015

CENTERS OF CUNTZ-KRIEGER C^*-ALGEBRAS

ADEL ALAHMADI AND HAMED ALSULAMI

Abstract. For a finite directed graph Γ we determine the center of the Cuntz-Krieger C^*-algebra $CK(\Gamma)$.

1. Definitions and Main Results

Let $\Gamma = (V, E, s, r)$ be a directed graph that consists of a set V of vertices and a set E of edges, and two maps $r : E \to V$ and $s : E \to V$ identifying the range and the source of each edge. A graph is finite if both sets V and E are finite. A graph is row-finite if $|s^{-1}(v)| < \infty$ for an arbitrary vertex $v \in V$.

Definition 1. If Γ is a row-finite graph, the Cuntz-Krieger C^*-algebra $CK(\Gamma)$ is the universal C^*-algebra generated (as a C^*-algebra) by $V \cup E$ and satisfying the relations (1) $s(e)e = er(e) = e$ for all $e \in E$, (2) $e^*f = \delta_{e,f}r(f)$ for all $e, f \in E$, (3) $v = \sum_{e \in s^{-1}(v)} ee^*$ whenever $s^{-1}(v) \neq \emptyset$.

The discrete $\mathbb{C}$-subalgebra of $CK(\Gamma)$ generated by V, E, E^* is isomorphic to the Leavitt path algebra $L(\Gamma)$ of the graph Γ (see [T2]). We will identify $L(\Gamma)$ with its image in $CK(\Gamma)$. Clearly $L(\Gamma)$ is dense in $CK(\Gamma)$ in the C^*- topology.

A path is a finite sequence $p = e_1 \cdots e_n$ of edges with $r(e_i) = s(e_{i+1})$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. We consider the vertices to be paths of length zero. We let $Path(\Gamma)$ denote the set of all paths in the graph Γ and extend the maps r, s to $Path(\Gamma)$ as follows: for $p = e_1 \cdots e_n$ we set $s(p) = s(e_1), r(p) = r(e_n)$. For $v \in V$ viewed as a path we set $s(v) = r(v) = v$. A vertex w is a descendant of a vertex v if there exists a path $p \in Path(\Gamma)$ such that $s(p) = v$, $r(p) = w$.

A cycle is a path $C = e_1 \cdots e_n, n \geq 1$ such that $s(e_1) = r(e_n)$ and all vertices $s(e_1), \ldots, s(e_n)$ are distinct. An edge $e \in E$ is called an exit from the cycle C if $s(e) \in \{s(e_1), \cdots, s(e_n)\}$, but $e \notin \{e_1, \cdots, e_n\}$. A cycle without an exit is called a

Key words and phrases. Cuntz-Krieger algebra, Leavitt path algebra.

NE-cycle. A subset $W \subset V$ is hereditary if all descendants of an arbitrary vertex $w \in W$ also lie in W. For two nonempty subsets $W_1, W_2 \subset V$ let $E(W_1, W_2)$ denote the set of edges $\{e \in E \mid s(e) \in W_1, r(e) \in W_2\}$.

For a hereditary subset $W \subset V$ the C^*-subalgebra of $CK(\Gamma)$ generated by $W, E(W, W)$ is isomorphic to the Cuntz-Krieger algebra of the graph $(W, E(W, W), s|_{E(W,W)}, r|_{E(W,W)})$, (see [BPRS], [BHRS]). We will denote it as $CK(W)$.

Example 1. Let the graph Γ be a cycle, $V = \{v_1, \cdots, v_n\}$, $E = \{e_1, \cdots, e_n\}$, $s(e_i) = v_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$; $r(e_i) = v_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, $r(e_n) = v_1$. The algebra $CK(\Gamma)$ in this case is isomorphic to the matrix algebra $M_n(T)$, where T is the C^*-algebra of continuous functions on the unit circle. The center of $CK(\Gamma)$ is generated by the element $e_1 \cdots e_n + e_2 e_3 \cdots e_1 + \cdots + e_n e_1 \cdots e_1$ and is isomorphic T.

For an arbitrary row-finite graph Γ if a path $C = e_1 \cdots e_n$ is a NE-cycle with the hereditary set of vertices $V(C) = \{s(e_1), \cdots, s(e_n)\}$ then we denote $CK(C) = CK(V(C)) \cong M_n(T)$. The center $Z(C)$ of the subalgebra $CK(C)$ of $CK(\Gamma)$ is generated by the element

$$z(C) = e_1 \cdots e_n + e_2 e_3 \cdots e_n e_1 + \cdots + e_n e_1 \cdots e_{n-1}.$$

We will need some definitions and some results from [AA1], [AA2].

Definition 2. Let $W \subset V$ be a nonempty subset. We say that a path $p = e_1 \cdots e_n$, $e_i \in E$, is an *arrival path* in W if $r(p) \in W$, and $\{s(e_1), \cdots, s(e_n)\} \not\subseteq W$. In other words, $r(p)$ is the first vertex on p that lies in W. In particular, every vertex $w \in W$, viewed as a path of zero length, is an arrival path in W. Let $Arr(W)$ be the set of all arrival paths in W.

Definition 3. A hereditary set $W \subseteq V$ is called finitary if $|Arr(W)| < \infty$.

If W is a hereditary finitary subset of V then $e(W) = \sum_{p \in Arr(W)} pp^*$ is a central idempotent in $L(\Gamma)$ and, hence in $CK(\Gamma)$, see [AA1],[AA2]. If C is a NE-cycle in Γ with the hereditary set of vertices $V(C)$ then we will denote $Arr(C) = Arr(V(C))$. If the set $V(C)$ is finitary then we will say that the cycle is finitary. In this case for an arbitrary element $z \in Z(C)$ the sum $\sum_{p \in Arr(C)} pzp^*$ lies in the center of $L(\Gamma)$ and $CK(\Gamma)$, see [AA2].

Theorem 1. *Let Γ be a finite graph. The center $Z(CK(\Gamma))$ is spanned by:*

(i) *central idempotents $e(W)$, where W runs over all nonempty hereditary finitary subsets of V;*

(ii) *subspaces $\{\sum_{p\in Arr(C)} pzp^* \mid z \in Z(C)\}$, where C runs over all finitary NE-cycles of Γ.*

Corollary 1. *$Z(CK(\Gamma))$ is the closure of $Z(L(\Gamma))$.*

Corollary 2. *The center $Z(CK(\Gamma))$ is isomorphic to a finite direct sum $\mathbb{C}\oplus\cdots\oplus\mathbb{C}\oplus T\oplus\cdots\oplus T$.*

In [CGBMGSMSH] it was shown that the center of a prime Cuntz-Krieger C^*-algebra is equal to $\mathbb{C}\cdot 1$ (for a finite graph) or to (0) (for infinite graph).

We remark that for two distinct hereditary subsets $W_1 \subsetneqq W_2$ the central idempotents $e(W_1), e(W_2)$ may be equal.

To make the statement of the Theorem more precise we will consider annihilator hereditary subsets.

Let W be a nonempty subset of V. Consider the subset $W^\perp = \{v \in V \mid$ the vertex v does not have descendants in $W\}$.

For empty set we let $\emptyset^\perp = V$. It is easy to see that $W^\perp$ is always a hereditary subset of V. If $W_1 \subseteq W_2 \subseteq V$ then $W_1^\perp \supseteq W_2^\perp$, $(W^\perp)^\perp \supseteq W$, $((W^\perp)^\perp)^\perp = W^\perp$.

Definition 4. We will refer to $W^\perp$, $W \subseteq V$ as annihilator hereditary subsets.

In [AA1] it was proved that $(W^\perp)^\perp$ is the largest hereditary subset of V such that every vertex in it has a descendant in W and that $e(W) = e((W^\perp)^\perp)$.

Hence in the part (i) of the Theorem we can let W run over nonempty finitary hereditary annihilator subsets of V.

In fact there is a 1-1 correspondence (and a Boolean algebra isomorphism) between finitary hereditary annihilator subsets of V and central idempotents of $L(\Gamma)$ and $CK(\Gamma)$.

Example 2. Let $\Gamma =$ 

. The set $\{v_2\}$ is hereditary, but not finitary. Thus there are no proper finitary hereditary subsets and $Z(L(\Gamma)) = \mathbb{C}\cdot 1$.

Example 3. Let $\Gamma =$. The set $\{v_2, v_3, v_4\}$ is hereditary and finitary, but $(\{v_2, v_3, v_4\}^{\perp})^{\perp} = V$. Thus there are no proper finitary hereditary annihilator subsets and again $Z(L(\Gamma)) = \mathbb{C} \cdot 1$.



Example 4. Let $\Gamma =$. The only finitary hereditary annihilator subsets are $\{v_5\}$ and $\{v_2, v_3, v_4\}$. Hence $Z(L(\Gamma)) = T \oplus \mathbb{C}$.

2. Closed Ideals

Let W be a hereditary subset of V. The ideal $I(W)$ of the Leavitt path algebra generated by the set W is the $\mathbb{C}$-span of the set $\{pq^* \mid p, q \in Path(\Gamma), r(p) = r(q) \in W\}$. The closure $\overline{I}(W)$ of the ideal W is the closed ideal of the C^*-algebra $CK(\Gamma)$ generated by the set W.

In this section we will use induction on $|V|$ to prove that for a proper hereditary subset $W \subset V$ central elements from $Z(CK(\Gamma)) \cap \overline{I}(W)$ are of the form predicted by the Theorem.

Given paths $p, q \in Path(\Gamma)$ we say q is a *continuation* of the path p if there exists a path $p' \in Path(\Gamma)$ such that $q = pp'$. In this case the path p is called a *beginning* of the path q. We will often use the following well known fact: if $p, q \in Path(\Gamma)$, $p^*q \neq 0$, then one of the paths p, q is a continuation of the other one.

Remark that if W is a hereditary subset of V and $p, q \in Arr(W), p \neq q$, then none of the paths p, q is a continuation of the other one.

For a path $p \in Path(\Gamma)$ we consider the idempotent $e_p = pp^*$.

Lemma 1. *Let W be a nonempty hereditary subset of V let $a \in \overline{I}(W)$, let $\epsilon > 0$. Then the set $\{p \in Arr(W) \mid \|e_p a\| \geq \epsilon\}$ is finite.*

Proof. The ideal $I(W)$ generated by the set W in the Leavitt path algebra $L(\Gamma)$ is dense in $\overline{I}(W)$. Choose an element $b \in I(W)$, $b = \sum_{p,q \in Arr(W)} pb_{p,q}q^*$, $b_{p,q} \in L(W)$, such that $\|a - b\| < \epsilon$. If $p' \in Arr(W)$ is an arrival path in W that is different from all the paths involved in the decomposition of the element b then $p'^*b = 0$ and $e_{p'}b = 0$.

Now

$$\|e_{p'}a\| = \|e_{p'}(a-b)\| \leq \|e_{p'}\|\|a-b\| < \epsilon,$$

which proves the Lemma. □

Lemma 2. *Let $a \in CK(\Gamma)$, $v \in V$, $a \in vCK(\Gamma)v$; $p \in Path(\Gamma), r(p) = v$. Then $\|pap^*\| = \|a\|$.*

Proof. We have

$$\|pap^*\| \leq \|p\| \cdot \|a\| \cdot \|p^*\| = \|a\|.$$

On the other hand, $a = p^*(pap^*)p$,

$$\|a\| \leq \|p^*\| \cdot \|pap^*\| \cdot \|p\| = \|pap^*\|,$$

which proves the Lemma. □

Lemma 3. *Let W be a nonempty hereditary subset of V let $z \in Z(CK(\Gamma) \cap \overline{I}(W)$. For an arbitrary vertex $w \in W$ if $wz \neq 0$ then the set $\{p \in Arr(W) \mid r(p) = w\}$ is finite.*

Proof. Let $p \in Arr(W), r(p) = w, zw \neq 0$. We have $e_pz = pp^*z = pzp^* = p(wzw)p^*$. By Lemma 2 $\|e_pz\| = \|wz\| > 0$. Now it remains to refer to Lemma 1. □

Let $\widetilde{Z}$ denote the sum of all subspaces $\mathbb{C}e(W)$, where W runs over nonempty finitary hereditary subsets of V, and all subspaces $\{\sum_{p \in Arr(C)} pzp^* \mid z \in Z(C)\}$, where C runs over finitary NE-cycles of Γ.

Our aim is to show that $Z(CK(\Gamma)) = \widetilde{Z}$.

Let's use induction on the number of vertices. In other words, let's assume that for a graph with $< |V|$ vertices the assertion of the Theorem is true.

Lemma 4. *Let W be a proper hereditary subset of V. Then $Z(CK(\Gamma) \cap \overline{I}(W) \subseteq \widetilde{Z}$.*

Proof. Let $0 \neq z \in Z(CK(\Gamma) \cap \overline{I}(W)$. Consider the element $z_0 = z(\sum_{w\in W} w) \in Z(CK(W))$. If $z_0 = 0$ then $zW = (0), z\overline{I}(W) = (0), z^2 = 0$, which contradicts semiprimeness of $CK(\Gamma)$ (see [BPRS],[BHRS]).

By the induction assumption there exist disjoint hereditary finitary (in W) cycles $C_1, \cdots, C_r$ and hereditary finitary (again in W) subsets $W_1, \cdots, W_k \subset W$ such that

$$z_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_i \left(\sum_{p\in Arr_W(C_i)} pa_i p^* \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \beta_j \left(\sum_{q\in Arr_W(W_j)} qq^* \right); \alpha_i, \beta_j \in \mathbb{C}, a_i \in Z(C_i).$$

The notations $Arr_W(C_i), Arr_W(W_j)$ are used to stress that arrival paths are considered in the graph $(W, E(W, W))$.

The fact that the hereditary finitary subsets $V(C_i), W_j$ can be assumed disjoint follows from the description of the Boolean algebra of finitary hereditary subsets in [AA2].

If $\alpha_i \neq 0$ then for arbitrary vertex $w \in V(C_i)$ we have $z_0 w = zw \neq 0$. Hence by Lemma 3 there are only finitely many paths $p \in Arr(W)$ such that $r(p) = w$. Hence $V(C_i)$ is a finitary subset of V. Similarly, if $\beta_j \neq 0$ then W_j is a finitary subset in V.

Consider the central element

$$z' = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_i \left(\sum_{p\in Arr(C_i)} pa_i p^* \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \beta_j e(W_j) \in Z(CK(\Gamma)).$$

We have $z'(\sum_{w\in W} w) = z_0 = z(\sum_{w\in W} w)$. Hence, $(z-z')(\sum_{w\in W} w) = (0), (z-z')\overline{I}(W) = (0), (z-z')^2 = 0$. Again by semiprimeness of $CK(\Gamma)$ we conclude that $z = z'$, which proves the Lemma.

□

3. Proof of the Theorem

Definition 5. A vertex $v \in V$ is called a sink if $s^{-1}(v) = \emptyset$.

Definition 6. A hereditary subset $W \subset V$ is called saturated if for an arbitrary non-sink vertex $v \in V$ the inclusion $r(s^{-1}(v)) \subseteq W$ implies $v \in W$.

Definition 7. If W is hereditary subset then we define the saturation of W to be the smallest saturated hereditary subset $\widehat{W}$ that contains W. In this case $I(W) = I(\widehat{W})$.

Definition 8. If W is a hereditary saturated subset of V then the graph $\Gamma/W = (V \setminus W, E(V, V \setminus W))$ is called the factor graph of Γ modulo W.

We have $CK(\Gamma)/\overline{I}(W) \cong CK(\Gamma/W)$ (see[T1]). In [AAP], [T2] it was proved that the following 3 statements are equivalent:

1) the Cuntz-Krieger C^*-algebra $CK(\Gamma)$ is simple,
2) the Leavitt path algebra $L(\Gamma)$ is simple,
3) (i) V does not have proper hereditary saturated subsets, (ii) every cycle has an exit.

We call a graph satisfying the condition 3) simple.

The following lemma is well known. Still we prove it for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 5. *Let Γ be a graph such that V does not have proper hereditary subsets. Then Γ is either simple or a cycle.*

Proof. If Γ is not simple then Γ contains a NE-cycle C. The set of vertices $V(C)$ is hereditary subset of V. In view of our assumption $V(C) = V$, which proves the Lemma.

□

Let $\mathrm{U} = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\alpha| = 1\}$ be the unit circle in $\mathbb{C}$. Let E' be a subset of the set E of edges. For an arbitrary $\alpha \in \mathrm{U}$ the mapping $g_{E'}(\alpha)$ such that $g_{E'}(\alpha) : v \mapsto v, v \in V$; $g_{E'}(\alpha) : e \mapsto \alpha e, e^* \mapsto \overline{\alpha} e, e \in E'$; $g_{E'}(\alpha) : e \mapsto e, e^* \mapsto e^*, e \in E \setminus E'$, extends to an automorphism $g_{E'}(\alpha)$ of the C^*-algebra $CK(\Gamma)$. Denote $G_{E'} = \{g_{E'}(\alpha), \alpha \in \mathrm{U}\} \leq Aut(CK(\Gamma))$. The group G_E is called the gauge group of the C^*-algebra $CK(\Gamma)$. An ideal of $CK(\Gamma)$ is called gauge invariant if it is invariant with respect to the group G_E.

In [BPRS], [BHRS] it is proved that a nonzero closed gauge invariant ideal of $CK(\Gamma)$ has a nonempty intersection with V.

Lemma 6. *Let the graph Γ be a cycle, $\Gamma = (V, E)$, $V = \{v_1, \cdots, v_d\}, E = \{e_1, \cdots, e_d\}$, $s(e_i) = v_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$; $r(e_i) = v_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq d-1$, $r(e_d) = v_1$. Then the central elements from $Z(CK(\Gamma))$ that are fixed by all $g_E(\alpha), \alpha \in \mathrm{U}$, are scalars.*

Proof. The center of $CK(\Gamma)$ is isomorphic to the algebra of continuous function $T = \{f : \mathrm{U} \to \mathbb{C}\}$, the corresponding action of G_E on T is $(g_E(\alpha)f)(u) = f(\alpha^d u)$.Now, if $f(u) = f(\alpha^d u)$ for all $\alpha, u \in \mathrm{U}$ then f is a constant function, which proves the Lemma.

□

Lemma 7. *Let W be a hereditary saturated subset of V such that Γ/W is a cycle, $E(V\setminus W, W)\neq\emptyset$, $\Gamma/W=\{v_1,\cdots,v_d\}$. Then* $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} v_i CK(\Gamma)v_i\right)\cap Z(CK(\Gamma))=(0)$.

Proof. Consider the set

$$W'=\{w\in W \mid E(V\setminus W,W)CK(\Gamma)w=(0)\}.$$

The set W' is hereditary and saturated. Moreover, $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} v_i CK(\Gamma)v_i\right)\cap\overline{I}(W')=(0)$. Indeed, we only need to notice that if $p\in Path(\Gamma)$, $s(p)=v_i$ and $r(p)\in W'$ then $p=0$. Let $p=e_1\cdots e_n$, $e_i\in E$. At least one edge $e_j, 1\leq j\leq n$, lies in $E(V\setminus W,W)$. This implies the claim. Factoring out $\overline{I}(W')$ we can assume that $W'=\emptyset$.

Let $0\neq z\in\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} v_i CK(\Gamma)v_i\right)\cap Z(CK(\Gamma))$. For an arbitrary edge $e\in E(V\setminus W,W)$ we have $ze=ez$. Hence $ze=zer(e)=ezr(e)=0$. Consider the ideal

$$J=\{a\in CK(\Gamma)\mid E(V\setminus W,W)CK(\Gamma)a=aCK(\Gamma)E(V\setminus W,W)=(0)\}$$

of the algebra $CK(\Gamma)$. The element z lies in J. The ideal J is gauge invariant. Hence by [BPRS], [BHRS] $J\cap V\neq\emptyset$. A vertex v_i, $1\leq i\leq d$, can not lie in J because $v_iCK(\Gamma)E(V\setminus W,W)\neq(0)$. On the other hand $J\cap W\subseteq W'=\emptyset$, a contradiction, which proves the Lemma.

□

Lemma 8. *Let W be a hereditary saturated subset of V such that Γ/W is a cycle and $E(V\setminus W,W)\neq\emptyset$. Then $Z(CK(\Gamma))\subseteq\mathbb{C}\cdot 1_{CK(\Gamma)}+\overline{I}(W)$.*

Proof. As in the Lemma 7 we assume that $V\setminus W=\{v_1,\cdots,v_d\}, E'=E\setminus E(V,W)=\{e_1,\cdots,e_d\}$; $s(e_i)=v_i, 1\leq i\leq d$, $r(e_i)=v_{i+1}$ for $1\leq i\leq d-1$, $r(e_d)=v_1$. Consider the action of the group $G_{E'}$ on $CK(\Gamma)$. Let $z\in Z(CK(\Gamma))$, $z=a+b, a=\sum_{i=1}^{d} v_izv_i, b=\sum_{w\in W} wzw$. Since every element from $G_{E'}$ fixes $CK(W)$ it follows that $g(b)=b$ for all $g\in G_{E'}$. Now $g(z)=g(a)+b, g(z)-z=g(a)-a\in\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} v_iCK(\Gamma)v_i\right)\cap Z(CK(\Gamma))=(0)$ by Lemma 7. we proved that an arbitrary element of $Z(CK(\Gamma))$ is fixed by $G_{E'}$. The ideal $\overline{I}(W)$ is invariant with respect to $G_{E'}$. Hence the group $G_{E'}$ acts on $CK(\Gamma)/\overline{I}(W)\cong CK(\Gamma/W)$ as the full gauge group of automorphisms. The image of the central element z in $Z(CK(\Gamma/W))$ is fixed by $G_{\Gamma/W}$. Hence by Lemma 6 it is scalar. This finishes the proof of the Lemma. □

Proof of Theorem 1. If V does not contain proper hereditary subsets then by Lemma 5 Γ is either simple or a cycle. If the C^*-algebra $CK(\Gamma)$ is simple then $Z(CK(\Gamma)) = \mathbb{C} \cdot 1$ (see [D]) and the assertion of the Theorem is clearly true.

If C is a cycle then $Z(CK(\Gamma)) \cong T$ and the assertion of the Theorem is again true.

Let now W be a maximal proper hereditary subset of V. The saturation $\widehat{W}$ is equal to V or the set W is saturated. In the first case $CK(\Gamma) = \overline{I}(W)$ and it suffices to refer to Lemma 4. Suppose now that the set W is saturated. The graph Γ/W does not have proper hereditary subsets. Again by Lemma 5 the graph Γ/W is either simple or a cycle. If Γ/W is simple then $Z(CK(\Gamma/W)) = \mathbb{C} \cdot 1$, which implies $Z(CK(\Gamma) \subseteq \mathbb{C} \cdot 1 + \overline{I}(W)$, which together with Lemma 4 implies the Theorem. If Γ/W is a cycle then by Lemma 8 we again have $Z(CK(\Gamma) \subseteq \mathbb{C} \cdot 1 + \overline{I}(W)$ and the Theorem follows.

□

Acknowledgement

This project was funded by the Deanship of Scientific Research (DSR), King Abdulaziz University, under Grant No. (27-130-36-HiCi). The authors, therefore, acknowledge technical and financial support of KAU.

References

[A] G. Abrams, Leavitt path algebras: the first decade, Bull. Math. Sci. (2015) 5:59–120.

[AAP] G. Abrams, G. Aranda Pino, The Leavitt path algebra of a graph, J. Algebra 293 (2005), 319-334.

[AMP] P. Ara, M.A.Moreno, E.Pardo, Nonstable k-theory for graph algebras, Algebra Represent. Theory 10 (2007), 157-178.

[AA1] A. Alahmadi, H. Alsulami, Completions of Leavitt path algebras, to appear in the Bull. Math. Sciences.

[AA2] A. Alahmadi, H. Alsulami, Centers of Leavitt path algebras and their completions, arXiv:1507.07439 [math.RA].

[BPRS] T. Bates, D. Pask, I. Raeburn, W. Szymanski, C^*-algebras of row-finie graphs, New York J. Math. 6(2000), 307-324.

[BHRS] T. Bates, J.H.Hong, I. Raeburn, W. Szymanski, The ideal structure of C^*-algebras of ifinite graphs, Illinois J. Math. 46(2002), 1159-1176.

[C] J. Cuntz, Simple C^*-algebras generated by isometries, Comm. Math. Phys. 57(1977), 173-185.

[CGBMGSMSH] M.G. Corrales Garcia,D.M.Barquero, C. Martin Gonzalez, M.Siles Molina, J.F. Solanilla Hernandez, Centers of path algebras, Cohn and Leavitt path algebras, Bull. Malay. Math. Sci. So. DOI 10.1007/s4084001502141

[D] J. Dixmier, C^*-algebras, North-Holland, 1977.

[T1] M. Tomforde, Structure of graph C*-algebras and generalizations, Chapter in the book"Graph Algebras: Bridging the Gap between Algebra and Analysis", Servicio de Publications de la Universidas de Malaga, Malaga, Spain, 2006

[T2] M. Tomforde,, Uniqueness theorems and ideal structure for Leavitt path algebras, J. Algebra 318 (2007), 270-299.

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, King Abdulaziz University, P.O.Box 80203, Jeddah, 21589, Saudi Arabia

E-mail address: analahmadi@kau.edu.sa

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, King Abdulaziz University, P.O.Box 80203, Jeddah, 21589, Saudi Arabia

E-mail address: hhaalsalmi@kau.edu.sa